

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/049,328	05/15/2002	Jay M. Meythaler	UAB-15452/22	3601	
25006	7590 01/25/2006		EXAMINER		
GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE & CITKOWSKI, P.C			JAGOE, DONNA A		
PO BOX 7021 TROY, MI 48007-7021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			1614		

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/049,328	MEYTHALER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Donna Jagoe	1614	

Defere the Filing of an Annual Priof			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Donna Jagoe	1614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of		a final raigation, whichave	orie later In no
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered i	hacause
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18 and 26-39</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	:hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
 12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 		No(s). 8/16/05 Christopher S. F. L.O. CHRISTOPHER S. F. L.O. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXA TECHNOLOGY CENTER S	MINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 13. Other: The 35 U.S.C. rejection of 1-18 and 26-39 is still effective even when the analogs, substituted forms, derivatives or pharmaceutically acceptable salts, esters, amides and prodrugs thereof have been deleted from the claims in view of the amendment filed on 11/23/05. Aebisher et al. teach prodrugs of GABA, such as progabide for treatment of seizure disorders column 10, lines 2-4). Bergmann teaches that progabide is metabolized to alpha chloro-4'phenyl fluoro-5 hydroxy-2-benzylidene amino 4 butanoate sodium and then to GABAmide, which is the sole compound of the amended claims. The metabolite and GABAmide appear in the circulation and in the brain in a few minutes after administration (see pages 13-14). The compound is employed to treat spasticity (page 19) epilepsy and convulsions (pages 17-19) and Parkinson's disease (spastic hypertonia) (pages 20-21). Although it is not specifically recited, GABAmide is necessarily present because of the administration of progabide for the treatment of seizure disorders, and the inevitable metabolism of progabide to GABAmide as stated above.